### Case 2:21-cv-04692 Pull Convert 1S Filed 10/26/21 Page 1 of 13

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	locket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	THONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Erin Strom			DEFENDANTS Fox Subacute at Warrington 2644 Bristol Road		
510 W. Walnu	ıt Street				
(b) Perkasie PA	18944 of First Listed Plaintiff	Bucks	Warrington, F	PA 18976 of First Listed Defendant	Bucks
* *	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	DNLY)
			NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Graham F. Baird.	Address, and Telephone Number	er) Chara	Attorneys (If Known)		
	500 JFK Blvd, Suite		a PΔ 10102		
Tel: 267-546-013		1240, I illiadcipilla	11 A 17102		
II. BASIS OF JURISD		One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif,
1 U.S. Government	X 3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T	incipal Place 4 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and F of Business In A	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI				Click here for: Nature of S	
CONTRACT  110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	625 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY  422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES  375 False Claims Act
120 Marine	310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	423 Withdrawal	376 Qui Tam (31 USC
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	690 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	410 Antitrust
& Enforcement of Judgmen	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability		820 Copyrights 830 Patent	430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal		835 Patent - Abbreviated	460 Deportation
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability		New Drug Application 840 Trademark	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 250 Mater Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPERT		880 Defend Trade Secrets	480 Consumer Credit
of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	710 Fair Labor Standards Act	Act of 2016	(15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	720 Labor/Management	SOCIAL SECURITY	Protection Act
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage  385 Property Damage	Relations 740 Railway Labor Act	861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923)	490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	751 Family and Medical Leave Act	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI	Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	790 Other Labor Litigation	865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee	791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	meonie security rec	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General		or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party	896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure
290 All Other Real Property	X 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other	462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration	1	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of
	Other 448 Education	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	Actions		State Statutes
	448 Education	560 Civil Detainee -			
		Conditions of Confinement			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)			1	1
121		Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or 5 Transfe Reopened Anothe	r District Litigation	1 1
	42 II S C A 8		filing (Do not cite jurisdictional state	tutes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$ 150,000	CHECK YES only  JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	200,000	JUNI DEMAND:	LATES LINU
IF ANY	(See instructions).	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 10/26/2021		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	ORNEY OF RECORD	200	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE

### Case 2:21-cv-04692-PD Document 1 Filed 10/26/21 Page 2 of 13

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address		
267-546-0131	215-944-6124	GrahamB@ericshore.com		
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for		
10/26/2021	Graham F. Baird	Erin Strom		
(f) Standard Management – (	Cases that do not fall into an	y one of the other tracks.	(X)	
		al or intense management by	( )	
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvin exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for personal injury	or property damage from	( )	
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.				
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.				
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.				
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	LLOWING CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:		
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the ed designation, that defendant sh	e Management Track Design a copy on all defendants. (So went that a defendant does nall, with its first appearance lies, a Case Management Tra	Reduction Plan of this court, counse nation Form in all civil cases at the tire ee § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the remot agree with the plaintiff regarding e, submit to the clerk of court and servack Designation Form specifying the ned.	ne of verse said ve on	
Fox Subacute at War	rington :	NO.		
Erin Strom V.	: :			
	:	CIVIL ACTION		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

### Case 2:21-cv-04692-PD Document 1 Filed 10/26/21 Page 3 of 13

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Defendant: 2644 Bristol Road, Warrington, PA 18976  Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 2677 Bristol Road, Warrington, PA 18976  RELATED CASE, IF ANY:  Case Number:	Address of Plaintiff:510 W. Walnut Street					
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 2677 Bristol Road, Warrington, PA 18976    RELATED CASE, IF ANY:   Case Number						
Case Number:						
Case Number:						
Civil cases are deemed related when Fes is answered to any of the following questions:  1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year yes No X previously terminated action in this court?  2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit yes No X pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patient already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?  4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No X case filed by the same individual?  1. Icertify that, to my knowledge, the within case	RELATED CASE, IF ANY:					
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?  4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No X case filed by the same individual?  1. Institute of the same individual?  1. Institute of this court except as noted above.  2. DATE: 10/26/2021	Case Number: Judge: Date Terminated:					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit	Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:					
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?  4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights  Yes No X  1 certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / S is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.  DATE: 10/26/2021  Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff  Attorney-LD. # (ff applicable)  CIVIL: (Place a \( \text{ in one category only)} \)  A. Federal Question Cases:  B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts  2. FELA  2. Airplane Personal Injury  3. Jones Act-Personal Injury  4. Antirtust  5. Patent  6. Labor-Management Relations  7. Civil Rights  8. Habosa Corpus  8. Products Liability  8. Habosa Corpus  9. Securifies Act(s) Cases  10. Social Security Review Cases  11. All other Federal Question Cases  (Please specify):  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from highbliry for arbitration.)  1. Graham F. Baird  Counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from highbliry for arbitration.)  1. Graham F. Baird  Counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION certification is to remove the case from highbliry for arbitration.)  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 10/26/2021  DATE: 10/26/2021						
numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?  4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights  Yes  No  Case filed by the same individual?  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case  is /  is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.  DATE: 10/26/2021						
Case filed by the same individual?   Case   Incident						
this court except as noted above.  DATE: 10/26/2021	4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights  Yes  No X					
CIVIL: (Place a \int in one category only)  A. Federal Question Cases:    1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   2. FELA   2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assautt, Defamation   4. Antitrust   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   6. Labor-Management Relations   6. Other Personal Injury   7. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   8. Products Liability   8. Products Liabil						
CIVIL: (Place a \( \) in one category only)  A. Federal Question Cases:    1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   2. FELA   2. FELA   3. Jones Act-Personal Injury   4. Antitrust   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Patent   6. Labor-Management Relations   7. Civil Rights   8. Habeas Corpus   9. Securities Act(s) Cases   10. Social Security Review Cases   11. All other Federal Question Cases   7. Products Liability Asbestos   9. All other Federal Question Cases   12. All other Federal Question Cases   13. All other Federal Question Cases   14. All other Federal Question Cases   15. All other Federal Question Cases   16. Council Coun						
A. Federal Question Cases:    1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts   2. FELA   2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation   4. Antitrust   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Patent   4. Marine Personal Injury   6. Labor-Management Relations   6. Labor-Management Relations   7. Products Liability   7. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   8. Products Liability   9. Products Liabili	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)					
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   2. FELA   2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation   4. Antitrust   5. Patent   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   6. Other Personal Injury   6. Other Personal Injury   7. Civil Rights   7. Products Liability   7. Assestos   9. All other Diversity Cases   9. All other Personal Injury (Please specify):   7. Products Liability   7. Products Liability   7. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   8. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   9. All other Diversity Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases   9. All other Personal Injury (Please specify):   7. Products Liability   8. Products Liability   9. All other						
2. FELA   2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assaut, Defamation   4. Antitrust   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Patent   5. Patent   6. Labor-Management Relations   6. Civil Rights   7. Products Liability   7. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   8. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   8. Products Liability   9. Securities Act(s) Cases   9. Social Security Review Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):     10. Social Security Review Cases (Please specify):	CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)					
4. Antitrust	A. Federal Question Cases:  B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:					
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	A. Federal Question Cases:  B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts  2. FELA  1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts  2. Airplane Personal Injury					
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	A. Federal Question Cases:  □ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts □ 2. FELA □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury □ 3. Assault. Defamation					
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	A. Federal Question Cases:  □ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts □ 2. FELA □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury □ 3. Assault. Defamation					
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)  I, Graham F. Baird , counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  X Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 10/26/2021	A. Federal Question Cases:    1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts   2. FELA   2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation   4. Antitrust   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Patent   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   6. Labor-Management Relations   6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):   7. Products Liability   7. Products Liability					
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)  I, Graham F. Baird, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 10/26/2021	A. Federal Question Cases:    1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts   2. FELA   2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation   4. Antitrust   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Patent   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   6. Labor-Management Relations   6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):   7. Civil Rights   7. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   8. Products Liability - Asbestos   9. Securities Act(s) Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases					
(The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)  I, Graham F. Baird, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 10/26/2021	A. Federal Question Cases:    Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:					
(The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)  I, Graham F. Baird, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 10/26/2021	A. Federal Question Cases:    Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:					
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 10/26/2021 92692	A. Federal Question Cases:    1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   2. FELA					
exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 10/26/2021	A. Federal Question Cases:    1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts					
DATE: 10/26/2021 M. Ri 92692	A. Federal Question Cases:    1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts   2. FELA   2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation   4. Antitrust   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Patent   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   6. Labor-Management Relations   6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):   7. Civil Rights   7. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   8. Products Liability - Asbestos   9. Securities Act(s) Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases   10. Social Security Review Cases   11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):     ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)					
DATE: 10/26/2021 92692	A. Federal Question Cases:    1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   2. FELA   2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Jones Act-Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation   4. Antitrust   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Patent   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   6. Labor-Management Relations   6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):   7. Products Liability   7. Products Liability - Asbestos   9. Securities Act(s) Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):   7. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):   7. ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)    ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)   Graham F. Baird   , counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:					
Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)	A. Federal Question Cases:    1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   2. FELA   2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Patent   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   6. Labor-Management Relations   6. Other Personal Injury   7. Products Liability   7. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   8. Products Liability   9. Social Security Review Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases   9. All other Pederal Question Cases   (Please specify):					
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.	A. Federal Question Cases:    1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   2. FELA   2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation   4. Antitrust   4. Antitrust   5. Patent   6. Labor-Management Relations   6. Labor-Management Relations   6. Vivil Rights   7. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   8. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   9. Securities Act(s) Cases   9. All other Personal Injury (Please specify):   7. Products Liability   8. Products Review Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases   9. All other Personal Injury (Please specify):   7. Products Liability   7. Products Liability   8. Products Liability   9.					

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ERIN STROM

510 W. Walnut Street

Perkasie, PA 18944

JURY DEMANDED

:

Plaintiff,

:

v.

No.

FOX SUBACUTE AT WARRINGTON

2644 Bristol Road

Warrington, PA 18976

:

Defendants

### **CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT**

### I. Parties and Reasons for Jurisdiction.

- 1. Plaintiff, ERIN STROM (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is an adult individual residing at the above address.
- 2. Defendant, FOX SUBACUTE AT WARRINGTON (hereinafter "Fox") is a business corporation organized by and operating under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and having a principal place of business at the above captioned address.
- 3. At all times material hereto, Defendant qualified as Plaintiff's employer pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act and as defined under Pennsylvania common law.
- 4. This action is instituted pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act.
  - 5. Jurisdiction is conferred by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.
- 6. Supplemental jurisdiction over the Plaintiff's state law claim is conferred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

- 7. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies prior to bringing this civil rights claim. [Exh. A.]
- 8. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because Defendant conducts business in this district, and because a substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district. Plaintiff was working in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania at the time of the illegal actions by Defendant as set forth herein.

### **II.** Operative Facts.

- 9. In or around August of 2016, Defendant hired Plaintiff as an LPN.
- 10. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was qualified for her position with Defendant and performed all her job duties without complaint or issue.
  - 11. In or around June of 2018, Plaintiff was diagnosed with Narcolepsy type 1.
- 12. As a result, Plaintiff is able to work night shifts, but struggles to stay awake during the day shift.
- 13. Plaintiff's physician provided Plaintiff with a note asking that she be switched to day shift, in an attempt to regulate her sleep schedule.
- 14. Plaintiff provided this note to her supervisor, Defendant's head of the nursing department, Amanda Zaborowski.
- 15. In 2019, Plaintiff asked to be switched back to night shift until approximately late August of 2020 when she requested to be moved back to day shift.
- 16. On or about September 3, 2020, due to the change in her schedule and due to having previously worked an overtime shift, Plaintiff overslept.

- 17. Plaintiff immediately texted and attempted to call one of Defendant's supervisors, Donna Camp, advising that she would not be in to work that day due to oversleeping and having a doctor's appointment later that day.
- 18. The following day, on September 4, 2020, Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment for a "no-call, no-show", despite the fact that she had advised Ms. Camp of her absence.
- 19. Plaintiff attempted to reach out to Defendant's human resources department to explain what had happened, but never received any returned call.
  - 20. Defendant failed to reasonably accommodate Plaintiff's disability.
- 21. Defendant failed to meaningfully engage in an interactive process towards the development of a reasonable accommodation for Plaintiff's disability.
- 22. At all times material hereto, Defendant was hostile to Plaintiff because of her diagnosed disability and terminated her as a result of that animus.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct in terminating Plaintiff, she sustained great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of future wages, as well emotional distress, humiliation, pain and suffering and other damages as set forth below.

### III. Causes of Action.

# COUNT I– AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (42 U.S.C.A. § 12101 et seq) (Plaintiff v. Defendants)

24. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-23 as if fully set forth at length herein.

- 25. At all times material hereto, and pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq., an employer may not discriminate against an employee based on a disability.
- 26. Plaintiff is a qualified employee and person within the definition of Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq.
- 27. Defendant is an "employer" and thereby subject to the strictures of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq.
- 28. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff had a qualified disability, as described above.
- 29. Defendant failed to accommodate or otherwise engage in a meaningful back and forth towards the development of a reasonable accommodation.
- 30. Defendant's conduct in terminating Plaintiff is an adverse action, was taken as a result of her disability and constitutes a violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq..
- 31. As a proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of future wages, loss of front pay, loss of back pay, liquidated damages as well as emotional distress, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff has also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of her earning power and capacity and a claim is made therefore.
- 32. As a result of the conduct of Defendant's owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive damages.

33. Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

# COUNT II—PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT 43 Pa.C.S.A. §951, et seq. (Plaintiff v. Defendants)

- 34. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-56 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 35. As set forth above, Plaintiff is a member of a protected class.
- 36. Defendant failed to accommodate or otherwise engage in a meaningful back and forth towards the development of a reasonable accommodation.
  - 37. Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment.
- 38. As set forth above, a motivating factor in the decision to terminate Plaintiff's employment is Plaintiff's disability.
- 39. Plaintiff suffered disparate treatment in the manner in which she was terminated as compared to similarly situated able-bodied employees, who received more favorable treatment by Defendant.
- 40. As such, Defendant violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa.C.S.A. §951, et seq.
- 41. As a proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of future wages, loss of front pay, loss of back pay, as well as emotional distress, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff has also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of earning power and capacity and a claim is made therefore.

42. Plaintiff demands attorneys' fees and court costs.

IV. Relief Requested.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, ERIN STROM demands judgment in her favor and against

Defendant, FOX SUBACUTE AT WARRINGTON, in an amount in excess of \$150,000.00

together with:

A. Compensatory damages, including but not limited to: back pay, front pay, past lost

wages, future lost wages. Lost pay increases, lost pay incentives, lost opportunity, lost

benefits, lost future earning capacity, injury to reputation, mental and emotional

distress, pain and suffering;

B. Punitive damages;

C. Liquidated damages;

D. Attorneys fees and costs of suit;

E. Interest, delay damages; and,

F. Any other further relief this Court deems just proper and equitable.

LAW OFFICES OF ERIC A. SHORE, P.C.

**GRAHAM F. BAIRD, ESQUIRE** 

Two Penn Center 1500 JFK Boulevard, Suite 1240 Philadelphia, PA 19102

Attorney for Plaintiff, Erin Strom

Date: 10/26/2021

# EXH. A

EEOC Form 161 (11/2020)

### U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

### DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS

		DIGIVIIOGAL	AND NOTICE OF	11101110			
To: Erin Strom 510 W. Walnut Street Perkasie, PA 18944		From:	From: Philadelphia District Office 801 Market Street Suite 1000 Philadelphia, PA 19107				
		On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whos CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))	e identity is				
EEO	C Charge No.	EEOC Representa	tive		Telephone No.		
Legal Unit,							
	-2021-00403				(267) 589-9707		
THE	<del></del>	LOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARC					
Ĺ	The	facts alleged in the charge fail to state a	claim under any of the s	tatutes enforced by the	EEOC.		
	You	allegations did not involve a disability as	defined by the America	ns With Disabilities Act			
	The	Respondent employs less than the requi	red number of employee	es or is not otherwise co	overed by the statutes.		
[		Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge					
	dete have	The EEOC issues the following determination: The EEOC will not proceed further with its investigation, and makes no determination about whether further investigation would establish violations of the statute. This does not mean the claims have no merit. This determination does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. The EEOC makes no finding as to the merits of any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.					
	The	EEOC has adopted the findings of the sta	ate or local fair employn	nent practices agency th	nat investigated this charge.		
	Othe	er (briefly state)					
			CE OF SUIT RIGHT				
Title VII, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act, or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal law based on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this notice; or your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a claim under state law may be different.)							
<b>Equal Pay Act (EPA):</b> EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that <b>backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible.</b>							
On behalf of the Commission							
Dana Blutta							
Enclo	osures(s)		Dana R. Hutter, Deputy Director		(Date Issued)		
cc:	Vice Pr	F. Murray esident and General Counsel pacute Management		m F. Baird, Esq, n Center, 1240,			

251 Stenton Avenue Paoli, PA 19301

1500 JFK Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19102 Enclosure with EEOC Form 161 (11/2020)

## INFORMATION RELATED TO FILING SUIT UNDER THE LAWS ENFORCED BY THE EEOC

(This information relates to filing suit in Federal or State court <u>under Federal law</u>.

If you also plan to sue claiming violations of State law, please be aware that time limits and other provisions of State law may be shorter or more limited than those described below.)

### **PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS**

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA), or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA):

In order to pursue this matter further, you must file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) named in the charge <u>within</u> <u>90 days</u> of the date you receive this Notice. Therefore, you should keep a record of this date. Once this 90-day period is over, your right to sue based on the charge referred to in this Notice will be lost. If you intend to consult an attorney, you should do so promptly. Give your attorney a copy of this Notice, and its envelope or record of receipt, and tell him or her the date you received it. Furthermore, in order to avoid any question that you did not act in a timely manner, it is prudent that your suit be filed within 90 days of the date this Notice was issued to you (as indicated where the Notice is signed) or the date of the postmark or record of receipt, if later.

Your lawsuit may be filed in U.S. District Court or a State court of competent jurisdiction. (Usually, the appropriate State court is the general civil trial court.) Whether you file in Federal or State court is a matter for you to decide after talking to your attorney. Filing this Notice is not enough. You must file a "complaint" that contains a short statement of the facts of your case which shows that you are entitled to relief. Your suit may include any matter alleged in the charge or, to the extent permitted by court decisions, matters like or related to the matters alleged in the charge. Generally, suits are brought in the State where the alleged unlawful practice occurred, but in some cases can be brought where relevant employment records are kept, where the employment would have been, or where the respondent has its main office. If you have simple questions, you usually can get answers from the office of the clerk of the court where you are bringing suit, but do not expect that office to write your complaint or make legal strategy decisions for you.

### PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS -- Equal Pay Act (EPA):

EPA suits must be filed in court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment: back pay due for violations that occurred **more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit** may not be collectible. For example, if you were underpaid under the EPA for work performed from 7/1/08 to 12/1/08, you should file suit before 7/1/10 – not 12/1/10 -- in order to recover unpaid wages due for July 2008. This time limit for filing an EPA suit is separate from the 90-day filing period under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA referred to above. Therefore, if you also plan to sue under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA, in addition to suing on the EPA claim, suit must be filed within 90 days of this Notice and within the 2- or 3-year EPA back pay recovery period.

#### ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION -- Title VII, the ADA or GINA:

If you cannot afford or have been unable to obtain a lawyer to represent you, the U.S. District Court having jurisdiction in your case may, in limited circumstances, assist you in obtaining a lawyer. Requests for such assistance must be made to the U.S. District Court in the form and manner it requires (you should be prepared to explain in detail your efforts to retain an attorney). Requests should be made well before the end of the 90-day period mentioned above, because such requests do <u>not</u> relieve you of the requirement to bring suit within 90 days.

#### ATTORNEY REFERRAL AND EEOC ASSISTANCE -- All Statutes:

You may contact the EEOC representative shown on your Notice if you need help in finding a lawyer or if you have any questions about your legal rights, including advice on which U.S. District Court can hear your case. If you need to inspect or obtain a copy of information in EEOC's file on the charge, please request it promptly in writing and provide your charge number (as shown on your Notice). While EEOC destroys charge files after a certain time, all charge files are kept for at least 6 months after our last action on the case. Therefore, if you file suit and want to review the charge file, **please make your review request within 6 months of this Notice**. (Before filing suit, any request should be made within the next 90 days.)

IF YOU FILE SUIT, PLEASE SEND A COPY OF YOUR COURT COMPLAINT TO THIS OFFICE.

### Case 2:21-cv-04692-PD Document 1 Filed 10/26/21 Page 13 of 13

**NOTICE OF RIGHTS UNDER THE ADA AMENDMENTS ACT OF 2008 (ADAAA):** The ADA was amended, effective January 1, 2009, to broaden the definitions of disability to make it easier for individuals to be covered under the ADA/ADAAA. A disability is still defined as (1) a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities (actual disability); (2) a record of a substantially limiting impairment; or (3) being regarded as having a disability. *However, these terms are redefined, and it is easier to be covered under the new law.* 

If you plan to retain an attorney to assist you with your ADA claim, we recommend that you share this information with your attorney and suggest that he or she consult the amended regulations and appendix, and other ADA related publications, available at http://www.eeoc.gov/laws/types/disability\_regulations.cfm.

"Actual" disability or a "record of" a disability (note: if you are pursuing a failure to accommodate claim you must meet the standards for either "actual" or "record of" a disability):

- > The limitations from the impairment no longer have to be severe or significant for the impairment to be considered substantially limiting.
- ➤ In addition to activities such as performing manual tasks, walking, seeing, hearing, speaking, breathing, learning, thinking, concentrating, reading, bending, and communicating (more examples at 29 C.F.R. § 1630.2(i)), "major life activities" now include the operation of major bodily functions, such as: functions of the immune system, special sense organs and skin; normal cell growth; and digestive, genitourinary, bowel, bladder, neurological, brain, respiratory, circulatory, cardiovascular, endocrine, hemic, lymphatic, musculoskeletal, and reproductive functions; or the operation of an individual organ within a body system.
- **Only one** major life activity need be substantially limited.
- ➤ With the exception of ordinary eyeglasses or contact lenses, the beneficial effects of "mitigating measures" (e.g., hearing aid, prosthesis, medication, therapy, behavioral modifications) are not considered in determining if the impairment substantially limits a major life activity.
- An impairment that is "episodic" (e.g., epilepsy, depression, multiple sclerosis) or "in remission" (e.g., cancer) is a disability if it would be substantially limiting when active.
- An impairment may be substantially limiting even though it lasts or is expected to last fewer than six months.

### "Regarded as" coverage:

- An individual can meet the definition of disability if an **employment action was taken because of an actual or perceived impairment** (e.g., refusal to hire, demotion, placement on involuntary leave, termination, exclusion for failure to meet a qualification standard, harassment, or denial of any other term, condition, or privilege of employment).
- ➤ "Regarded as" coverage under the ADAAA no longer requires that an impairment be substantially limiting, or that the employer perceives the impairment to be substantially limiting.
- The employer has a defense against a "regarded as" claim only when the impairment at issue is objectively *BOTH* transitory (lasting or expected to last six months or less) *AND* minor.
- A person is not able to bring a failure to accommodate claim *if* the individual is covered only under the "regarded as" definition of "disability."

Note: Although the amended ADA states that the definition of disability "shall be construed broadly" and "should not demand extensive analysis," some courts require specificity in the complaint explaining how an impairment substantially limits a major life activity or what facts indicate the challenged employment action was because of the impairment. Beyond the initial pleading stage, some courts will require specific evidence to establish disability. For more information, consult the amended regulations and appendix, as well as explanatory publications, available at <a href="http://www.eeoc.gov/laws/types/disability\_regulations.cfm">http://www.eeoc.gov/laws/types/disability\_regulations.cfm</a>.